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Goals of Evidence-Based Correctional Practices

• Protect public safety;
• Hold offenders accountable to victims and the community.
• Motivate/support offenders through the process of becoming law-abiding, productive members of their families and communities.
Why Do Policymakers Embrace Evidence-Based Practice?

- Improves outcomes.
- Reduces victimization.
- Enhances collaboration.
- Nourishes appetite for data-driven decision making.
- Targets funding toward the interventions that yield the greatest returns.

Sources of Evidence

GOLD
- Experimental/control research design with controls for attrition
- Significant sustained reductions in recidivism obtained
- Multiple site replications
- Preponderance of all evidence supports effectiveness

SILVER
- Quasi-experimental control research with appropriate statistical controls for comparison group
- Significant sustained reductions in recidivism obtained
- Multiple site replications
- Preponderance of all evidence supports effectiveness

BRONZE
- Matched comparison group without complete statistical controls
- Significant sustained reductions in recidivism obtained
- Multiple site replications
- Preponderance of all evidence supports effectiveness

IRON
- Conflicting findings and/or inadequate research designs

DIRT (DOES NOT WORK)
- Silver and Gold research showing negative outcomes
“When different experiments give you the same results, it is no longer subject to your opinion. That’s the good thing about science. It’s true whether or not you believe in it. That’s why it works.”

Neil DeGrasse Tyson, Astrophysicist, Author

Eight Principles of EBP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principles of Evidence-Based Practices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assess Actuarial Risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhance Intrinsic Motivation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Interventions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skill Train with Directed Practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide Positive Reinforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Build Support in Natural Communities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure Relevant Processes and Practices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide Measurement Feedback</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Assess Actuarial Risk

- Risk refers to risk of re-offending
- We can predict future criminal behavior by assessing risk factors
- The best way to assess offenders’ risk factors is by using an actuarial assessment (e.g., LSI-R)
- We want to *match* levels of treatment to the risk level of the offender
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Goals of Assessment

- To ensure thorough and careful exploration of critical variables for each offender including risk to offend
- To promote individualized case management
  - Initial case planning
  - Ongoing case management
- To inform decision making
  - Structure
  - Consistency
  - Objectivity
  - Effectiveness
- Maximize investment in correctional resources
Enhance Intrinsic Motivation

True or False
People don’t change unless they want to change.

True or False
There are things we can do to move people through the stages of change.

Stages of Change

Prochaska & DiClemente, 1986
OARS

Open-ended questions – seek a better understanding of what motivates a person and to build rapport and trust

Affirmations – compliment or demonstrate and appreciation to increase future positive behaviors

Reflections – indicate you have been listening and understand what is being said (not agreement)

Summarizations – link information together and reinforce what has been discussed

Target Interventions

- **Risk Principle**: Prioritize supervision and treatment resources for higher risk offenders.
- **Need Principle**: Target interventions to criminogenic needs.
- **Responsivity Principle**: Be responsive to temperament, learning style, motivation, culture, and gender when assigning programs.
- **Dosage**: Structure 40-70% of high-risk offenders’ time for 3-9 months.
- **Treatment Principle**: Integrate treatment into the full sentence/sanction.
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The Risk Principle

The risk principle tells us who to target

- *High risk* offenders are more likely to recidivate and are more active when they do recidivate.
- *High risk* offenders require the most intensive intervention (supervision and treatment) for the longest period of time.
- *Low risk* offenders are not as likely to recidivate.
- Too much intervention with *low risk* offenders can increase the likelihood of recidivism.

The Need Principle

To what should we be paying attention?

- Certain factors are tied to criminal behavior.
- Targeting these factors will result in a reduction in recidivism.
Responsivity Principle

• The responsivity principle tells us how to target offender issues.
• General
  o Programs that are based on cognitive-behavior/social learning theories are generally responsive to offenders.
• Specific
  o Individuals learn differently and have specific barriers that should be removed before programming or addressed during programming.

Major Criminogenic Risk/Need Factors

• “Big Four”:
  o Antisocial attitudes
  o Antisocial peers
  o Antisocial personality
  o History of antisocial behavior
• Other criminogenic risk factors:
  o Substance abuse
  o Employment/education
  o Low family affection/poor supervision/poor communication
  o Leisure/Recreation

Examples of non-criminogenic needs are: self-esteem, anxiety, creative abilities, history of trauma/victimization, medical needs, physical conditioning.

Source: Andrews & Bonta, 1994
## Major Risk and/or Need Factor and Promising Intermediate Targets for Reduced Recidivism

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Dynamic Need</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>History of antisocial behavior</td>
<td>Early and continued involvement in a number of antisocial acts</td>
<td>Build noncriminal alternative behaviors in risky situations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antisocial personality</td>
<td>Adventurous, pleasure seeking, weak self control, restlessly aggressive</td>
<td>Build problem solving, self management, anger management, and coping skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antisocial cognition</td>
<td>Attitudes, values, beliefs and rationalizations supportive of crime, cognitive emotional states of anger, resentment and defiance</td>
<td>Reduce antisocial cognition, recognize risky thinking and feelings, build up alternative less risky thinking and feelings. Adopt a reform and/or anti-criminal identity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antisocial peers</td>
<td>Close association with criminals and relative isolation from prosocial people</td>
<td>Reduce association with criminals, enhance association with prosocial people</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


## Major Risk and/or Need Factor and Promising Intermediate Targets for Reduced Recidivism

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Dynamic Need</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Family and/or marital</td>
<td>Two key elements are nurturance and/or caring, better monitoring and/or supervision</td>
<td>Reduce conflict, build positive relationships, communication, enhance monitoring and supervision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School and/or work</td>
<td>Low levels of performance and satisfaction</td>
<td>Enhance performance, rewards, and satisfaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leisure and/or recreation</td>
<td>Low levels of involvement and satisfaction in anticriminal leisure activities</td>
<td>Enhance involvement and satisfaction in prosocial activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance Abuse</td>
<td>Abuse or alcohol and/or drugs</td>
<td>Reduce substance abuse, reduce the personal and interpersonal supports for substance abusing behavior, enhance alternatives to substance abuse</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fidelity/Integrity Principle**

Adherence to the three principles for treatment

- Focusing on the risk principle ➔ those with the highest risk to recidivate receive the most intensive of services and those with lower risk received limited correctional intervention.
- Focusing on the needs principle ➔ identifying the dynamic criminogenic needs to target for change. These needs are the same factors that precipitate criminal behavior; therefore, they are to be targeted for change.
- Focusing on the responsivity principle ➔ identifying and resolving the barriers to treatment and service delivery.

**Fidelity/Integrity Principle**

Proper assessment administration, interpretation, classification and reassessment

- Staff must be formally trained and provided with updated (maintenance) training on validated and normed risk/needs assessment tools.
- Assessments should also be supervised and reviewed to check for inter-rater reliability.
- Proper classification is necessary to determine treatment dosages (as well as targeted areas).
- Reassessment gauges what risk factors were targeted for change and whether there has been a change in the overall risk level of the offender as a result of services.
Fidelity/Integrity Principle

Also, this principle suggests that:

• There should be a disruption of criminal networks.
• Program development should be guided by theory and a review of empirical research regarding the elements of successful treatment interventions (e.g., cognitive behavioral approaches).
• Programs should undergo rigorous process and outcome evaluations to determine whether or not their programming is effectively reducing recidivism for their targeted population.
  o CPAI-2000 and CPC Program and Group Forms
  o RNR Simulation Tool

What is the RNR Simulation Tool?

• Assess/case plan for individuals
  • Translate assessment findings into programming and control recommendations
  • Estimated recidivism reduction

• Rate programs
  • Assesses current programming
  • Based on program content, quality, etc.

• Assess system capacity
  • Is programming available to achieve responsivity?
Skill Train with Directed Practice

- It’s easy to go back to old ways, it’s hard to learn new skills (takes time and much practice)
- Cognitive Behavioral Techniques
  - Think, act and respond differently

Provide Positive Reinforcement

- It’s all about carrots and sticks.
- More positive reinforcement than negative (4:1).
- Consistent with procedural justice, it is essential to explain ground rules for positive and negative reinforces throughout case planning and case management processes.
Tips for Behavior Reinforcement

Effective Reinforcement:
• Focus on the behavior being reinforced and offer sincere verbal praise with a statement linked to the behavior.
• Ask the individual to identify short and long term benefits for continuing this prosocial behavior.
  o Example: arriving on time for appointments.

Effective Disapproval:
• Focus on the behavior being disapproved.
• Be firm and clear in stating that the behavior was unacceptable.
• Ask the individual to identify short and long term consequences for continuing this antisocial behavior.
  o Example: Offender lied to the probation officer.

Build Support in Communities

• Keeps offenders from coming back into the criminal justice system.
• Improve bonds and ties to pro-social community members.
• Prosocial ties can actively reinforce preferred behaviors.
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Measure Processes & Practices

- Accurate and detailed documentation of assessments, case plans and case management are fundamental to evidence-based supervision.
- Identifying and measuring outcomes is the foundation of evidence-based practice.
- Assess offender change in cognitive and skill development, and evaluate offender recidivism.
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Measure Processes & Practices

- Routinely measure and document employee performance.
- Employees that are periodically evaluated for performance achieve greater fidelity to program design, service delivery principles, and outcomes.
- Employees whose performance is not consistently monitored, measured, and subsequently reinforced:
  - Work less cohesively
  - Provide less support to the organization’s mission.
Provide Measurement Feedback

Once a method for measuring relevant processes/practices is in place, the information must be utilized by various parties to monitor process and change.
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Measure Processes & Practices

- Advising offenders about their progress builds accountability and is associated with enhanced motivation for change, lower treatment attrition, and improved outcomes (e.g., reduced drink/drug days; treatment engagement; goal achievement).
- The same is true at the organizational level.
  - Monitoring and evaluating delivery of services and fidelity to procedures helps build accountability and maintain integrity to the organization's mission.
  - Regular performance audits and instructional case reviews with an eye on improved outcomes, keep employees focused on the ultimate goal of reduced recidivism through the use of evidence-based principles.
Evidence-Based Organizations

In an evidence-based correctional organization:

• Everyone shares a common mission and vision.
• Resources are used effectively and efficiently.
• Offenders are held accountable.
• Data drives decisions.
• Learning and innovations are welcome.
• System players communicate and collaborate.

Workforce Development

• Ultimately, it’s the employees that determine whether system reform and culture change are successful.

• Expectations of the workforce change with reform, and the competencies needed to do the job need to be well defined.

• To avoid mixed messages, new competencies should be infused throughout workforce processes.
  o Recruitment and selection -- Training
  o Performance appraisals -- Promotions
Why Are Recidivism Rates Higher Than Desired?

Some of the most commonly cited reasons:

• We focus on the wrong issues.
• We give too much attention to the low risk and too little to the high risk.
• Programs have not applied research knowledge nor are these practices applied with fidelity.
• The system is not in alignment; sends mixed messages to employees.

The Top Five Reasons Why EBPs Fail

• Organizational development (ineffective technology transfer strategies).
• System that is unable or unwilling to practice true collaboration.
• Failure to measure, reinforce, and hold everyone accountable for EBP.
• Ineffective leadership.
• Fidelity, fidelity, fidelity.
EBP Potencies

- No longer one size fits all.
- No longer guided by “gut feelings”.
- Avoids correctional quackery.
- Scientific/actuarial based risk assessments.
- Case plan around criminogenic needs.
- Employ evidence-based programming whenever possible.
- Develop innovations that are inspired by research; measure and evaluate new programs.

---

Gonna change my way of thinking,
Make myself a different set of rules.
Gonna put my good foot forward,
And stop being influenced by fools.

--Bob Dylan--